

A08765

Philip Ackland-Wiles

FINAL JOB COMPLETION REPORT

RESEARCH PROJECT SEGMENT

State of Montana

Project No.: W-91-R-6
W-91-R-7

Name Small Game Research Project

Job No.: II-A.1

Title Effects of Sagebrush Manipulation
on Sage Grouse

Period Covered: July 1, 1963 through June 30, 1964
July 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965 (Final Report)

Prepared by Neil Martin

Approved by Wynn G. Freeman

Date May 18, 1965

VITA

Neil Sidney Martin was born November 3, 1935, in Rapid City, South Dakota, to Mr. and Mrs. Sidney A. Martin. In 1953 he graduated from Helena High School, Helena, Montana, and enrolled at Montana State College, Bozeman, Montana. After serving with the U. S. Army, he returned to Montana State College where he received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Zoology in 1963. In February, 1959, he married the former Joellen McCarthy in Bozeman, Montana. In April, 1963, he began his studies at Montana State College toward a Master of Science Degree in Fish and Wildlife Management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

To the following, among others, the author wishes to extend sincere appreciation for their contributions to this study: Dr. Don C. Quimby, Montana State College, for technical supervision and guidance in preparation of the manuscript; Dr. Robert L. Eng and Mr. Leroy Ellig, Montana State Fish and Game Department, for project planning and field assistance; Dr. W. E. Booth, Montana State College, for verifying plant identifications; Mr. Thomas Mussehl and Mr. Robert Greene, Montana State Fish and Game Department, for field assistance; Mr. Kenneth Greer, Montana State Fish and Game Department, for assistance in analysis of crop contents; Mr. Robert Gibson and Mr. Hubert Hum's, District Rangers, and all the personnel of the Lima District, Beaverhead National Forest, for assistance and use of equipment; his wife, Joellen, and immediate family for assistance and encouragement; Mr. Gary Mann of Helena for two summer's aid and cooperation in field work. During the study, the writer was supported by the Montana State Fish and Game Department under Federal Aid Projects W-91-R-4, W-91-R-5, W-91-R-3, and the U. S. Forest Service.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
VITA	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES.	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
DESCRIPTION OF AREA.	2
METHODS.	5
RESULTS.	8
Vegetation of Sprayed and Unsprayed Areas.....	8
Observations of Sage Grouse in Sprayed and Unsprayed Strips.....	11
Characteristics of Vegetation at Sage Grouse Locations.....	16
FOOD HABITS.	24
APPENDIX	27
MISCELLANEOUS OBSERVATIONS	31
Strutting Grounds.....	31
Nesting.....	31
Broods.....	32
Movements.....	33
Age Structure of Hunter Killed Birds.....	35
LITERATURE CITED	36

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	MEAN PERCENT CANOPY COVERAGE FOR VEGETATION, LITTER, AND BARE GROUND IN FORTY 2 x 5 DM PLOTS IN SPRAYED AND FORTY IN UNSPRAYED STRIPS, 1963 AND 1964	8
II.	AVERAGE PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANTS IN FORTY 2 x 5 DM PLOTS IN SPRAYED AND FORTY IN UNSPRAYED SAGEBRUSH STRIPS, 1963 AND 1964.	10
III.	NUMBERS OF SAGE GROUSE OBSERVED IN SPRAYED AND UNSPRAYED STRIPS FROM JUNE 15 TO SEPTEMBER 14 FOR THE YEARS 1962 THROUGH 1964.	14
IV.	MEAN PERCENT CANOPY COVERAGE FOR GRASSES, FORBS, AND LOW SHRUBS AT 137 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1962 THROUGH 1964	17
V.	AVERAGE PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANTS AT EACH OF 137 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964	18
VI.	AVERAGE NUMBER, PERCENT CROWN COVERAGE, AND MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF BIG SAGE PLANTS WITHIN A 1/100 ACRE CIRCLE AT EACH OF 159 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964	20
VII.	PERCENTAGE VOLUME AND FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANT AND ANIMAL MATTER IN 35 ADULT SAGE GROUSE CROPS COLLECTED DURING JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964	24
VIII.	PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE IN SPRAYED STRIPS, IN UNSPRAYED STRIPS, AT SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS, AND IN SAGE GROUSE CROPS FOR THOSE PLANTS WHICH CONSTITUTED A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE SAGE GROUSE DIET	25
IX.	LIST OF TAXA WHICH OCCURRED IN SPRAYED AND/OR UNSPRAYED PLOTS WITH A PERCENT FREQUENCY OF LESS THAN TEN	28

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

Table	Page
X. LIST OF TAXA WHICH OCCURRED AT SOME SITE(S) OF 137 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS WITH A PERCENT FREQUENCY OF LESS THAN TEN.	29
XI. DATE OF LOCATION, DISTANCE TO STRUTTING GROUND, NUMBER OF EGGS AND THE ULTIMATE FATE OF EACH OF FIVE NESTS FOUND IN MAY AND JUNE OF 1964	32
XII. AVERAGE BROOD SIZE AND PERCENT OF HENS WITH BROODS, AS DETERMINED BY OBSERVATIONS FROM JUNE 20 TO AUGUST 10, 1963 AND 1964	33
XIII. DISTANCES FROM THE BIG SHEEP CREEK STRUTTING GROUND OF RELOCATIONS OF TEN MARKED SAGE GROUSE. .	34
XIV. NUMBERS OF SAGE GROUSE KILLED AND YOUNG/ADULT RATIOS AS DETERMINED FROM EXAMINATION OF HUNTER KILLED BIRDS IN 1963 AND 1964.	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Map of the Big Sheep Creek Basin Study Area . . .	3
2. Photographs Showing Canopy Coverage in Sprayed (top) and Unsprayed (bottom) Areas at the Approximate Midpoint of Section 3	12
3. Photographs Showing General Aspect of Vegetation in Sprayed (top) and Unsprayed (bottom) Areas at the Approximate Midpoint of Section 3	13
4. Locations of Observations of a Banded Hen in Relation to Sprayed and Unsprayed Strips During 1962 and 1963	16
5. Photographs Showing the General Aspect of Big Sagu Plants at Locations of Broods Six Weeks or Less of Age (top), as well as that for Older Broods and Adults (bottom).	21

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted during the summers of 1962, 1963, and 1964 on a 1,900 acre allotment in Southwestern Montana. A total of 1,710 acres of the allotment had been sprayed in 1961 with 2,4-D to control sagebrush. A total of 190 acres of the allotment, consisting of three strips approximately one and one-quarter miles in length and five chains in width, as well as areas along streams, were left unsprayed. Objectives of my study were to obtain quantitative data on effects of chemical control of sagebrush on a sage grouse population. Canopy coverage measurements of vegetation in the 1,900 acre allotment revealed about 80 percent grasses and 20 percent forbs in sprayed strips and 60 percent grasses and 40 percent forbs in unsprayed strips. Low shrub canopy coverage in sprayed strips was reduced as compared with unsprayed strips, and 97 percent of the Big Sage plants evaluated were recorded as dead. Only eight percent were recorded as dead in unsprayed strips. Sprayed strips provided only four percent of the 415 sage grouse observations made on the 1,900 acre allotment. Ninety-one percent of the 15 sage grouse observed in sprayed strips were within 95 feet of an unsprayed strip. Canopy coverage measurements of herbaceous vegetation at 137 sage grouse locations, most of which were outside the 1,900 acre allotment, consisted of approximately 60 percent grasses and 40 percent forbs. Low shrub canopy coverage was similar from year to year, and 92 percent of the Big Sage plants evaluated were recorded as living. The similarity of the vegetation composition at sage grouse locations and in the unsprayed strips led to the conclusion that the differences in numbers of sage grouse observed in sprayed and unsprayed strips were related to vegetation composition. Density, percent crown coverage, and maximum height of Big Sage plants were determined at each of 159 sage grouse locations. Broods, six weeks or less of age, were found on areas having a lesser density and lower percent crown coverage of Big Sage than were older broods and adults. Food habits were determined by analysis of the contents of 35 sage grouse crops. Sagebrush and three genera of forbs together constituted 94.6 percent of the total volume. Dandelion and sagebrush had the greatest total percent frequency of occurrence of all food items. These high frequency food plants were more abundant in unsprayed than in sprayed strips, which strengthened the conclusion that differences in numbers of sage grouse observed in unsprayed and sprayed strips were related to vegetation composition.

INTRODUCTION

An increasing trend toward sagebrush (Artemisia spp.) eradication and/or control on private and public rangelands in Montana and other parts of the western United States has become apparent in recent years. The principal objective is to release the growth of grasses and other herbaceous plants to improve grazing for domestic livestock. The dependence of sage grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus) on sagebrush is well known (Girard, 1937; Griner, 1939; Patterson, 1952; and others). In Montana, as elsewhere, many of these sagebrush ranges provide excellent habitat for this bird. Although various aspects of the effects of sagebrush control on wildlife populations have been studied, few references relating to sage grouse are available. Trueblood (1954) and Enyeart (1956) evaluated use of reseeded sagebrush ranges by sage grouse. Rogers (1964) determined the use of areas by sage grouse on which sagebrush had been removed by burning. Some adverse effects of chemical sagebrush removal on this species were noted by Rogers (op cit.).

The objective of the present study, conducted during three concurrent summers (1962 - 1964) in Southwestern Montana, was to determine effects of chemical manipulation (control) of sagebrush on a sage grouse population. Data on life history and ecology of the sage grouse were collected to aid in interpretation of the results.

DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

The study area (Figure 1) encompassed approximately 90 square miles of an extensive mountain valley in southwestern Beaverhead County, Montana, locally known as Big Sheep Creek Basin. The area, bordered by the Continental Divide on the west and the Tendoy Mountains on the east, has numerous rolling benches ranging in elevation from 6,700 to 8,000 feet above sea level. It is drained dendritically by tributaries of Big Sheep Creek. The annual precipitation averages about 14 inches, most of which falls in winter and spring (Forest Service Report, 1961).

The vegetation was characterized by a sagebrush grassland type which occupied the major portion of the study area. Predominant shrubs included: Rubber Rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus nauseosus), Green Rabbitbrush (C. viscidiflorus), Low Sage (Artemisia arbuscula), and Big Sage (A. tridentata), with the latter having the greater density in most situations. The principal grasses were Idaho Fescue (Festuca idahoensis), Bluebunch Wheatgrass (Agropyron spicatum), and various species of Bluegrass (Poa spp.). Pussytoes (Antennaria spp.) Phlox (Phlox spp.), Western Yarrow (Achillea millefolium), Fringed Sagewort (A. frigida), and Sandwort (Arenaria spp.) were the dominant forbs. Various degrees of dominance and association between grass and forb species existed, particularly on areas with varying livestock grazing intensity. Stream bottoms and moist areas were characterized by a number of grasses, rushes,




Figure 1. Map of Big Sheep Creek Basin Study Area.

willows, and succulent forbs. A more quantitative treatment of the vegetation is presented in a later section. The main economy of the area was grazing by livestock. Approximately 1,594 cattle and 3,500 sheep graze on National Forest lands in Big Sheep Creek Basin between July 1 and November 10, under a permit system.

Principal study was conducted on 1,900 acres of the area's southwestern corner, of which 1,710 acres had been sprayed in 1961 with 2,4-D at the rate of one and three-fourths pounds, acid equivalent, per acre to control sagebrush (Figure 1). Three east-west strips, approximately one and one-quarter miles in length and five chains in width as well as strips of 300 feet on each side of streams, were left unsprayed. Grazing by livestock was deferred on the 1,900 acre spray allotment for two years after spraying.

METHODS

Cannon nets, similar to those described by Dill and Thornsberry (1950), were used to trap mating birds on strutting grounds in late April and early May. After hatching, broods were readily captured using hand hoop nets of a type used by Mussehl (1960). Rectangular metal-supported cotton mesh nets utilized successfully by Patterson (1952) were relatively ineffective in this area. In 1962 and 1963, captured grouse, 11 weeks and older, were marked with aniline dyes in combination with four numbered leg bands of various colors to permit individual recognition in the field. In 1964, colored naugahyde neckbands, each with a number, supplemented the aniline dyes. Numbered aluminum bands attached to the patagium of each wing of chicks less than 11 weeks old permitted later identification in the hand.

Two observation routes, one vehicle and one foot, 7.4 and 4.5 miles long, respectively, were established in the 1,900 acre spray allotment. One vehicle route, 37.5 miles long, traversed most of the basin. Vehicle routes were driven weekly at 15 mph beginning at sunrise or in the evening starting at a time necessary to complete the route just prior to darkness. Direction and time (morning or evening) of travel for each route were reversed weekly. The foot route was traveled once each week beginning at sunrise. A German Short Hair Pointer accompanied the observer. In addition to the above routes, all roads and areas passable to vehicle travel

were covered once or more a week depending upon bird concentrations. All sage grouse observations were recorded and each location marked with a numbered red plastic flag for subsequent vegetation analyses. Observations were made with the aid of a 7 x 35 binocular or 20X spotting scope. Many sightings, including most broods and all nesting hens, were initially made by the dog.

A measure of vegetation cover at sage grouse locations was obtained on the same or following day of sighting by a modification of Daubenmire's (1959) canopy coverage method. Frequency of occurrence and canopy coverage for grasses, forbs, and low shrubs in twenty 2 x 5 dm plots, five each, along 30 foot radial lines of a circle's cardinal points were evaluated at each site. Density and average height of sagebrush at bird locations were determined by counting and measuring plants in the four quadrants of a 1/100 acre circle (radius 11.7 feet). Sagebrush canopy coverage at these same locations was obtained by the line intercept method, Canfield (1941). Canopy of plants was recorded in tenths of a foot along radial lines of the circle's cardinal points. A transect, with forty 2 x 5 dm Daubenmire plots, was established in the sprayed sagebrush strips to measure plant production changes. A like transect with an equal number of plots was established in the unsprayed strips. The vegetation in the plots, located at approximately one chain intervals along the

transects, was evaluated every two weeks.

During July, August, and September of 1963 and 1964, a total of 39 sage grouse were collected to determine food habit preferences. Collections were made in late evening prior to darkness to obtain birds with full crops. Crops were examined individually and the percent by volume of identifiable material recorded. The percentage of crop contents for each month was computed by the aggregate percentage method (Martin, et al., 1946). Four crops, each of which contained less than 3 cc of material, were not considered in the evaluation.

Hunter success information and wings from hunter killed birds for determining age were collected at a checking station operated on weekends during September and October.

RESULTS

Vegetation of Sprayed and Unsprayed Areas

Mean percent canopy coverage of vegetation, litter, and bare ground for sprayed and unsprayed areas of the 1,900 acre spray allotment, as revealed by evaluations in forty 2' x 5 dm plots in each of the areas, is presented in Table 1. The vegetation in the plots was evaluated on each of the following dates for both 1963 and 1964: July 9 and 23, August 7 and 12, and September 4.

TABLE 1. MEAN PERCENT CANOPY COVERAGE FOR VEGETATION, LITTER, AND BARE GROUND IN FORTY 2 x 5 DM PLOTS IN SPRAYED AND FORTY IN UNSPRAYED STRIPS, 1963 AND 1964.

	1963		1964		1963-1964	
	S	US	S	US	S	US
Grasses	47	34	54	38	51	36
Forbs	12	23	13	29	13	26
Total herbaceous	59	57	67	67	64	62
Low shrubs ¹	9	13	9	18	9	16
Litter	19	18	25	28	22	23
Bare ground	17	17	17	19	17	18

S = Sprayed strip; US = Unsprayed strip

¹ includes both dead and living plants

Although the mean percent canopy coverage for total herbaceous vegetation in 1963 and 1964 was similar in sprayed and unsprayed strips, there was a greater canopy coverage of grasses and a lesser canopy coverage of forbs in the sprayed strips. The two year average canopy coverage for forbs in the

sprayed plots was only about one half that of the unsprayed plots. Forb reduction or destruction following application of the chemical 2,4-D to control sagebrush has been reported by Blaisdell, 1956; Hyder, 1954; and others. The average percent canopy coverage for total herbaceous vegetation increased from 1963 to 1964 in both sprayed and unsprayed plots. The increase in unsprayed plots resulted mostly from forbs, while the increase in sprayed plots was due mainly to grasses.

The somewhat constant percent of shrub canopy coverage in sprayed strips, as compared with the increase in unsprayed strips for the two year period, was possibly due to impaired growth and/or dead sagebrush plants. The latter is suggested by the percent frequency of Big Sage (Artemisia tridentata) in sprayed strips (Table II).

Relatively small difference in the average percent canopy coverage of litter was noted for sprayed and unsprayed strips. Litter increased from 1963 to 1964 for both the sprayed and unsprayed strips.

The average percent frequency of occurrence of plants in the plots for 1963 and 1964 is presented in Table II.

The average percent frequency of occurrence of all grasses recorded except Idaho Fescue (F. idahoensis) was greater in sprayed than in unsprayed plots. Four of the eight forbs recorded were most common in the unsprayed strips, while three were most common in the sprayed strips.

TABLE II. AVERAGE PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANTS IN FORTY 2 x 5 DM PLOTS IN SPRAYED AND FORTY IN UNSPRAYED SAGEBRUSH STRIPS, 1963 AND 1964.

Plant taxa ¹	1963		1964		1963-1964	
	S	US	S	US	S	US
GRASSES						
<u>Agropyron spicatum</u>	65	42	68	58	66	51
<u>Festuca idahoensis</u>	93	100	93	100	93	100
<u>Koeleria cristata</u>	32	29	33	15	32	23
<u>Poa spp.</u>	45	29	30	49	49	39
FORBS						
<u>Achillea millefolium</u>	17	12	18	10	17	11
<u>Antennaria spp.</u>	41	59	41	60	41	59
<u>Arenaria congesta</u>	29	29	24	18	26	25
<u>Astragalus miser</u>	26	32	28	35	27	34
<u>Lupinus sericeus</u>	7	23	9	25	8	24
<u>Phlox caespitosa</u>	26	21	22	19	24	21
<u>Phlox longifolia</u>	18	14	11	10	13	13
<u>Sedum stonopetalum</u>	3	16	4	16	3	16
LOW SHRUBS						
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u> ²	4	45	4	56	4	50

¹ All taxa in both sprayed and unsprayed strips with less than ten percent frequency of occurrence were excluded (See Table IX, Appendix).

² Dead plants were not included

Frequency of occurrence of grasses, except June Grass (Koeleria cristata), increased or remained about constant in both sprayed and unsprayed areas from 1963 to 1964. June Grass decreased substantially in unsprayed plots. No general pattern was indicated for increase or decrease of the forbs from 1963 to 1964 in either the sprayed or unsprayed plots. Some increased others decreased.

The only low shrub that occurred in either the sprayed or unsprayed strips with a greater percent frequency than ten for living plants was Big Sage. The frequency of this plant in unsprayed plots was several times that in sprayed plots. It increased significantly in unsprayed plots from 1963 to 1964, but not in sprayed plots. Big Sage plants were recorded as 100 percent dead in 8 and 97 percent of the unsprayed and sprayed plots, respectively.

Figures 2 and 3 depict the vegetation characteristics in sprayed and unsprayed strips.

Observations of Sage Grouse in Sprayed and Unsprayed Strips

Numbers of sage grouse in sprayed and unsprayed strips, as determined by observations made from a vehicle or while on foot in the 1,900 acre spray allotment, are presented in Table III. Observations were made from June 15 to September 14, during each of the years 1962 through 1964.

Despite the fact that more than proportionately equal time was spent in the sprayed strips, which were approximately nine times the unsprayed in area, they provided only four percent of the sage grouse observed. Rogers (op cit.) reported that spraying of 1,300 acres surrounding a 1,700 acre sagebrush range, sprayed two years previously, caused sage grouse to emigrate the area. During any month or year, the greatest numbers of sage grouse observed on my study area





Figure 2. Photographs Showing Canopy Coverage in Sprayed (top) and Unsprayed (bottom) Areas at the Approximate Midpoint of Section 3 (see enlargement of spray allotment, Figure 1).



Figure 3: Photographs Showing General Aspect of Vegetation in Sprayed (top) and Unsprayed (bottom) Areas at the Approximate Midpoint of Section 3 (see enlargement of spray allotment, Figure 1).

TABLE III. NUMBERS OF SAGE GROUSE OBSERVED IN SPRAYED AND UNSPRAYED STRIPS FROM JUNE 15 TO SEPTEMBER 14 FOR THE YEARS 1962 THROUGH 1964.

Month	1962					1963					1964				
	S		US			S		US			S		US		
	M	F	J	U		M	F	J	U		M	F	J	U	
June		3	1				1				1		2	1	
July						5	5	4			1		1	2	5
August		2	14	57	38	3	92	5	21	32	3	4	3	21	7 17
September			3	10	8		11	2						5	20 8
Sub-Total	0	2	20	68	46	3	108	13	25	32	5	4	3	22	16 43 8
TOTAL	C		136			3		177			12			87	

S = Sprayed strip

US = Unsprayed strip

M = Male

F = Female

J = Juveniles

U = Unclassified

- 15 -


were in unsprayed strips. The increases in numbers of birds recorded during August for each year were thought to have reflected migrations through the area. Males, females, and juveniles were included.

During 1963 and 1964, the site of each of the 15 sage grouse observed in sprayed strips was marked and distance to the nearest unsprayed strip was measured. Distances ranged from 21 to 210 feet with 91 percent falling between 75 and 95 feet. The type of strip, sprayed or unsprayed, in which 184 sage grouse were seen alighting after flushing was also recorded. Ninety-four percent of the birds flushed were observed resettling in unsprayed strips.

Locations of a banded brood-hen in relation to sprayed and unsprayed strips are shown in Figure 4. The hen captured in June, 1962, was reobserved twice the same year and three times during 1963.

In 1962, the hen was observed with one chick and in 1963 with five, four of which were caught and banded. The brood remained intact during subsequent sightings. Two of the banded chicks were recaptured at 1963 relocations B2 and B3. Patterson (op cit.) stated that the close relationship between sagebrush distribution and sage grouse abundance is more than just a casual occurrence.

Figure 4. Locations of Observations of a Banded Hen in Relation to Sprayed and Unsprayed Strips During 1962 and 1963.



X Capture site (June 25, 1962)

A Locations of subsequent sightings in 1962 (July 12, 28)

B Locations of subsequent sightings in 1963 (July 17, 18; August 10)

■ Unsprayed strips

Characteristics of Vegetation at Sage Grouse Locations

Vegetation measurements were made at the location of 137 sage grouse, or group of sage grouse, sighted within Big Sheep Creek Basin including the 1,900 acre spray allotment (Tables IV, V, and VI). Ninety-two percent of these were outside the 1,900 acre spray allotment; 1,220 of the 4,035 sage grouse observations for the three years were involved.

TABLE IV. MEAN PERCENT CANOPY COVERAGE FOR GRASSES, FORBS, AND LOW SHRUBS AT 137 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1962 THROUGH 1964.

	<u>1962</u>	<u>1963</u>		<u>1964</u>		<u>1962-1964</u>	
	B	B	A	B	A	B	A
Grasses	33	26	34	41	38	35	34
Forbs	17	18	20	28	27	22	23
Total herbaceous	50	44	54	69	65	57	57
Low shrubs	23	24	24	25	25	24	24
No. of observations	23	24	36	19	35	66	71

B = Broods; A = Adults

Although there were differences each year in mean percent canopy coverage for grasses and forbs at brood locations as compared with adult locations, the 1962 through 1964 averages were similar. Grasses comprised approximately 60 percent and forbs 40 percent of the total herbaceous vegetation at both brood and adult locations. The mean percent canopy coverage for low shrubs at all sage grouse locations was similar from year to year, and the averages at brood and adult locations for the three years were identical.

The average percent frequency of occurrence of plants recorded in the plots at brood and adult sage grouse locations is presented in Table V.

Bluegrass (Poa spp.) combined with Bluebunch Wheatgrass (A. spicatum), and Bluegrass (P. spp.) combined with Idaho Fescue (F. idahoensis) had the greatest yearly and three-year

TABLE V. AVERAGE PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANTS AT EACH OF 137 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964.

Plant taxa ¹	1963		1964		1963-1964	
	B	A	B	A	B	A
GRASSES						
<u>Agropyron smithii</u>	15	18	21	10	18	12
<u>Agropyron spicatum</u>	48	36	49	27	49	31
<u>Festuca idahoensis</u>	33	58	39	73	36	65
<u>Koeleria cristata</u>	34	26	22	22	29	24
<u>Poa</u> spp.	52	55	66	71	59	64
FORBS						
<u>Achillea millefolium</u>	16	14	10	23	12	19
<u>Antennaria</u> spp.	22	36	23	29	22	32
<u>Arenaria congesta</u>	22	18	12	30	17	23
<u>Aster</u> spp.	13	8	10	7	12	8
<u>Astragalus miser</u>	32	24	37	36	34	31
<u>Lupinus sericeus</u>	15	9	9	18	12	14
<u>Selaginella densa</u>	14	16	15	15	15	15
<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	36	39	27	23	31	33
LOW SHRUBS						
<u>Artemisia arbuscula</u>	8	<1	9	<1	8	<1
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u> ²	63	75	67	80	64	78

B = Broods; A = Adults

¹ All taxa except Artemisia arbuscula with less than ten percent frequency of occurrence were excluded. (See Table X, Appendix)

² Dead plants were not included

average percent frequency of occurrence at brood and adult sites, respectively. With the possible exception of three or four species, the average percent frequency of occurrence of forbs at brood and adult locations was similar. Milkvetch (Astragalus miser) and Dandelion (Taraxacum officinale) had

the highest combined frequency of occurrence for the three-year period at both brood and adult locations.

The single low shrub that occurred at both brood and adult sage grouse sites with a greater percent frequency than ten was Big Sage. The average percent frequency of occurrence was greater in 1964 than in 1963 at both brood and adult sites, but was greater at the latter each year. Of the Big Sage plants evaluated for all years and locations, 92 percent were recorded as living. Low Sage (A. arbuscula), 90 percent of which was recorded during June and July, occurred with a greater average percent frequency at brood locations than at adult locations each year.

Density, percent crown coverage, and maximum height of Big Sage plants at brood and adult locations during 1963 and brood, adult, and nesting hen locations during 1964 are given in Table VI.

The average number and percent crown coverage of Big Sage plants during each year was greater at adult locations than at brood locations. This was substantiated by the greater average percent frequency of occurrence of Big Sage at adult locations (Table V). Most of the difference that occurred between brood and adult locations each year was attributed to locations of broods of 6 weeks or less of age. Eighty-eight percent of these broods, located during June and July of both years, were found on areas having an average of 36 Big Sage plants per

TABLE VI. AVERAGE NUMBER, PERCENT CROWN COVERAGE, AND MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF BIG SAGE PLANTS WITHIN A 1/100 ACRE CIRCLE AT EACH OF 159 SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS DURING JUNE, JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964.

	1963		1964			1963-1964	
	B	A	B	A	NH ¹	B	A
Number of plants	45	57	49	63	41	47	59
Percent crown coverage	19	25	18	24	22	19	25
Maximum heights	13	12	13	14	12	13	13
Number of observations	24	50	23	57	5	47	107

B = Broods: A = Adults only; NH = Nesting Hens

¹ During June only

1/100 acre and a percent crown coverage of 14. By August and September, broods and brood groups were located on areas comparable to that of adults. Figure 5 shows the general aspect of Big Sage at young brood locations, as well as that for older broods and adults.

The average maximum height of Big Sage plants was similar at both brood and adult locations, but a wider range in height was recorded at adult sites. Plant heights at young brood locations ranged from 9 to 15 inches as compared with 7 to 25 inches at adult locations. Keller, et al. (1941) reported sagebrush growth from 7 to 15 inches high was preferred for feeding, nesting, and roosting with taller plants being used for nesting, shade and escape cover.

The average number and percent crown coverage of Big

- 21 -



Figure 5. Photographs Showing the General Aspect of Big Sage Plants at Locations of Broods Six Weeks or Less of Age (top), as well as that for Older Broods and Adults (bottom).

Sage plants recorded at nest sites was not unlike that for brood sites, and all but one of the nests found, were in the same areas used by young broods. Four of five nests were each placed under one or more Big Sage plants averaging 12 inches in height. The other was located beneath a 13 inch Rubber Rabbitbrush plant (C. nauseosus). Keller, et al. (op cit.); Matterson (op cit.); and others reported that more than 90 percent of all nests found were placed under sagebrush.

Comparisons of the canopy coverage of grasses and forbs in sprayed and unsprayed strips (Table I) with that recorded at sage grouse locations (Table IV) indicated the similarity between the latter and the unsprayed strips. Grasses and forbs constituted about 60 and 40 percent, respectively, of the total herbaceous canopy coverage at sage grouse locations and in the unsprayed strips; whereas, the relationship was about 80 and 20 percent in the sprayed strips. The low shrub canopy coverage was greater at sage grouse locations than for either the sprayed or unsprayed strips, but the differential was much greater between the former and the sprayed strips. Big Sage, which was the dominant low shrub in both the sprayed and unsprayed strips (Table II) and at sage grouse locations (Table V), occurred with the greatest frequency at sage grouse locations.

The above comparison of vegetation characteristics leads to the conclusion that the differences in the numbers of sage



- 23 -

grouse observed in the sprayed strips as compared with unsprayed strips (Table III) was related to differences in vegetation composition.

FOOD HABITS

Percent volume and frequency of occurrence of plant and animal matter in 35 adult sage grouse crops collected during July, August, and September of 1963 and 1964 are given in Table VII.

TABLE VII. PERCENTAGE VOLUME AND FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE OF PLANT AND ANIMAL MATTER IN 35 ADULT SAGE GROUSE CROPS COLLECTED DURING JULY, AUGUST, AND SEPTEMBER OF 1963 AND 1964.

Item	Years		1963		1964		1963-1964	
	Sample Size		14		21		35	
	Percentage		Vol.	Freq.	Vol.	Freq.	Vol.	Freq.
PLANT MATERIAL								
<u>Achillea</u>	.2		11		.1	14	tr	13
<u>Antennaria</u>	.5		11		1.6	14	1.2	13
<u>Artemisia</u>	16.2		89		43.2	86	34.3	88
<u>Astragalus</u>	.4		22		8.1	52	5.6	47
<u>Erigeron</u>	4.4		11		1.5	14	2.7	13
<u>Geum</u>	.1		11		--	--	tr	3
<u>Gramineae</u>	.2		67		tr	29	tr	40
<u>Taraxacum</u>	69.1		89		33.7	90	45.1	90
<u>Trifolium</u>	7.7		67		10.5	33	9.6	43
Unidentified forbs	.8		33		1.2	14	1.2	13
ANIMAL MATTER								
<u>Coleoptera</u>	.1		11		tr	5	tr	7
<u>Hymenoptera</u>	.3		33		tr	14	tr	13

While there was considerable yearly variation in amounts of individual items consumed, two members of the Compositae, Sagebrush (Artemisia, mainly Big Sage) and Dandelion (Taraxacum), provided more than two-thirds by volume of the total crop contents for each year and for the two years combined. For the two year period, sagebrush and three genera of forbs

together constituted 94.6 percent of the total volume of identifiable food. Leaves and flower clusters of sagebrush and dandelion, with the latter having the greater total percent volume, composed 79.4 percent of the crop contents. Sagebrush and dandelion also had the greatest total percent frequency of occurrence of all food items. Griner (op cit.) and Girard (op cit.) reported that plant materials, mainly dandelion and sagebrush, furnished 95 percent or more by volume of the adult sage grouse summer diet. Patterson (op cit.) stated that only during the summer months did sagebrush compose less than 80 percent of the total volume of food consumed during the year.

The percent frequency of occurrence of those plants which constituted a significant portion of the sage grouse diet are shown in Table VIII for sprayed strips, unsprayed strips, sage grouse locations, and sage grouse crops.

TABLE VIII. PERCENT FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE IN SPRAYED STRIPS, IN UNSPRAYED STRIPS, AT SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS, AND IN SAGE GROUSE CROPS FOR THOSE PLANTS WHICH CONSTITUTED A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE SAGE GROUSE DIET (See Tables II, V, VII).

	Sprayed Strips	Unsprayed Strips	Sage Grouse Locations		35 Sage Grouse Crops
			B	A	
<u>Artemisia tridentata</u>	4	50	54	78	88
<u>Astragalus</u>	27	34	34	31	47
<u>Taraxacum</u>	5	8	31	33	90
<u>Trifolium</u>	1	4	4	7	43

B = Brood; A = Adult

Considerable differences are apparent. Each of the plants listed in Table VIII had a greater percent frequency of occurrence in the sage grouse crops than in any of the other categories listed. This indicated the selectivity of sage grouse in feeding. The differential in frequency of occurrence for Big Sage in the crops as compared with the other categories was less than for the other plants which indicated the importance of this plant. The favored food plants were more abundant in unsprayed than in sprayed strips, supporting the conclusion that the greater number of sage grouse observed in unsprayed strips, as compared with sprayed strips (Table III), was related to the differences in vegetation composition.

Miscellaneous Observations

Observations on the life history and ecology of sage grouse that were not necessarily pertinent to the main consideration of this study are included in the Appendix.

- 27 -

APPENDIX

TABLE IX. LIST OF TAXA WHICH OCCURRED IN SPRAYED AND/OR UNSPRAYED PLOTS WITH A PERCENT FREQUENCY OF LESS THAN TEN (see Table II, Text).

Plant taxa	1963		1964	
	S	US	S	US
GRASSES				
<u>Agropyron smithii</u>				x
<u>Carex</u> spp.	x	x	x	x
<u>Hesperochloa kingii</u>		x		x
<u>Stipa comata</u>		x		x
FORBS				
<u>Agoseris galuca</u>		x		
<u>Allium textile</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Arnica Rydbergii</u>		x		x
<u>Artemisia frigida</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Aster</u> spp.	x	x		x
<u>Astragalus striata</u>	x		x	x
<u>Besseya cinera</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Castilleja flava</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Dodecathon radicatium</u>			x	x
<u>Erigeron compositus</u>		x	x	x
<u>Eriogonum ovalifolium</u>		x		x
<u>Eriogonum umbellatum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Geum triflorum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Haplopappus acaulis</u>		x	x	x
<u>Musineon divaricatum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Penstemon cyaneus</u>		x		x
<u>Penstemon procerus</u>		x	x	x
<u>Potentilla</u> spp.	x	x	x	
<u>Ranunculus glaberrimus</u>			x	
<u>Selaginella densa</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Senecio werneriaefolius</u>		x		x
<u>Stellaria longifolia</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Trifolium longipes</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Trifolium repens</u>		x		x
LOW SHRUBS				
<u>Artemisia arbuscula</u>		x		x
<u>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</u>	x	x		x
<u>Tetradymia canescens</u>		x		x

S = Sprayed; US = Unsprayed

TABLE X LIST OF TAXA WHICH OCCURRED AT SOME SITE(S) OF 137
SAGE GROUSE LOCATIONS WITH A PERCENT FREQUENCY OF LESS THAN
TEN. (See Table V, Text)

Plant taxa	1963		1964	
	B	A	B	A
GRASSES				
<u>Agropyron subsecundum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Carex</u> spp.	x	x	x	x
<u>Hesperochloa kingii</u>		x		
<u>Hordeum brachyantherum</u>		x		
<u>Hordeum jubatum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Phleum alpinum</u>			x	
<u>Stipa comata</u>	x	x		x
FORBS				
<u>Agoseris glauca</u>	x		x	
<u>Allium textile</u>	x	x		x
<u>Arnica rydbergii</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Artemisia frigida</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Aster</u> spp.		x		x
<u>Astragalus striata</u>	x	x		x
<u>Besseyia cineria</u>	x	x		x
<u>Capsella bursa-pastoris</u>		x	x	x
<u>Castilleja flava</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Comandra umbellata</u>	x	x		x
<u>Dodecatheon radiculatum</u>			x	x
<u>Erigeron compositus</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Eriogonum umbellatum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Galium boreale</u>			x	
<u>Geranium viscosissimum</u>		x		
<u>Geum triflorum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Haplopappus acaulis</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Heuchera parvifolia</u>	x	x		x
<u>Iris missouriensis</u>	x			
<u>Lappula redowskii</u>	x			
<u>Lupinus sericeus</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Musineon divaricatum</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Penstemon procerus</u>	x	x	x	
<u>Phlox caespitosa</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Phlox hoodii</u>	x	x		x
<u>Phlox longifolia</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Potentilla fruticosa</u>	x		x	
<u>Potentilla</u> spp.	x	x	x	x
<u>Ranunculus glaberrimus</u>				x
<u>Sedum stenopetalum</u>	x	x	x	x

TABLE X. CONTINUED

Plant taxa	1963		1964	
	B	A	B	A
<u>Senecio werneriaefolius</u>	x			x
<u>Stellaria longifolia</u>			x	x
<u>Trifolium longipes</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Trifolium repens</u>	x	x	x	x
LOW SHRUBS				
<u>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Leptodactylon pungens</u>	x	x	x	x
<u>Tetradymia canescens</u>	x	x	x	x

B = Brood; A = Adult

MISCELLANEOUS OBSERVATIONS

Strutting Grounds

Strutting activity in the basin was apparently confined to one large centrally located ground (Figure 1) situated on a heavily grazed area adjacent to dense sagebrush. During each of the three years, adverse weather conditions resulted in sporadic strutting activity; and, with the exception of 175 males recorded in April, 1962, strutting ground counts were not obtained. The peak of female attendance on the ground was observed to be the third week of April in 1962 and 1963, and the first week of May in 1964. Females moved onto the strutting ground just prior to daybreak and congregated around strutting cocks in groups of 40 to 50 or less. By sunrise, most of the hens had either walked or flown into the heavy sage bordering the strutting ground. Sage grouse were most active on clear calm mornings prior to sunrise and were not greatly disturbed when approached by vehicle.

Nesting

The date of location, distance to strutting ground, number of eggs and the ultimate fate of each of five nests found in May and June of 1964 are presented in Table XI.

Four of the five nests found were within two airline miles of the strutting ground. The one exception was located in one of the unsprayed strips in the 1,900 acre spray allotment. Gill (1964) reported 86.9 percent of 23 nests were

TABLE XI. DATE OF LOCATION, DISTANCE TO STRUTTING GROUND, NUMBER OF EGGS AND THE ULTIMATE FATE OF EACH OF FIVE NESTS FOUND IN MAY AND JUNE OF 1964.

Date Located	Miles to Strutting Ground	Number of Eggs	Fate of Nest
May 10	2	5	Destroyed
June 7	.5	9	Hatched
June 15	.2	5	Deserted
June 24	6.5	-	Destroyed
June 30	.2	-	Destroyed

found within two miles of a permanent strutting ground.

Four of the nests were either deserted or destroyed.

Ground squirrels (Citellus richardsoni) were observed destroying one of the nests. Patterson (op cit.), Gill (op cit.), and others found ground squirrels (Citellus spp.) to be a major cause of nest destruction. The success of the June 7 nest was determined by the presence of heavy intact egg membranes which were described by Ellig (1955) as the most conspicuous feature of a successful duck nest.

Broods

The average brood size and percent of hens with broods, as determined by observations from June 20 to August 10 during 1963 and 1964, are given in Table XII.

The average brood size for successful hens was similar both years and compared favorably with the reported 4.36 five year average brood size for sage grouse in Meagher County,

TABLE XII. AVERAGE BROOD SIZE AND PERCENT OF HENS WITH BROODS AS DETERMINED BY OBSERVATIONS FROM JUNE 20 TO AUGUST 10, 1963 AND 1964.

	1963	1964
No. of hens with broods	22	30
Percent of hens with broods	34	34
Total No. of young	103	120
Ave. brood size (hens with broods)	4.7	4.0
Ave. brood size (all hens)	1.6	1.4

Montana (Eng, 1952). A sizeable decrease in average brood size was apparent when unsuccessful hens were included. Each year after the first of July, broodless hens in groups of 25 to 30 were observed. These groups probably included birds that moved into the basin from the adjoining state of Idaho. Two sage grouse banded in Idaho were recovered in the basin by hunters during the 1964 hunting season.

Movements

Each of 76 sage grouse trapped on the strutting ground during the three years was marked and released. Ten were each relocated once at distances of .5 to 12 miles from the point of capture (Table XIII).

With respect to the strutting ground, juvenile females moved greater distances than either the adult males or females. All three juvenile females were relocated during July, 1964, the year of marking, in a drainage apart from those

TABLE XIII. DISTANCES FROM THE BIG SHEEP CREEK STRUTTING GROUND OF RELOCATIONS OF TEN MARKED SAGE GROUSE.

	Number of Relocations	Distance from Capture Site (Miles) Range	Average
Adult males	2	.5 - 4	1.1
Adult females	5	.5 - 4	1.4
Juvenile females	3	11 - 12	11.6

forming Big Sheep Creek Basin. The drainage (Muddy Creek), separated from the basin by a branch of the Tendoy Mountains, had one known strutting ground (Kirsch, 1964). These limited data suggest inter-strutting ground movement or possibly a lower social ranking for juvenile females.


Two adult males, three adult females, and two juvenile females, marked in 1962 or 1963 were retrapped on the strutting ground the year following marking. The return of sage grouse to permanently established strutting grounds in successive breeding seasons is well known (Scott, 1942; Patterson, op cit.; and others). Two of the adult males trapped in 1963 were retrapped at the same site in 1964, indicating that at least some sage grouse return to the same location within the strutting ground in successive years.



A08765

**CONTINUED ON
NEXT FICHE**

IMAGE EVALUATION TEST TARGET (MT-2)



SERIF (BASKERVILLE) SIZE SANS SERIF (MICROFONT)
POINT

4 5 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 25 28 32 36 40 45 50 56 63 72 80 90 100 112 126 144 162 180 200 225 252 288 324 360 400 450 504 567 630 720 810 900 1008 1152 1296 1440 1620 1800 2016 2232 2448 2700 3024 3360 3744 4176 4608 5088 5616 6192 6816 7488 8208 9072 10080 11232 12528 13968 15552 17280 19152 21168 23328 25632 28080 30768 33696 36864 40272 43920 47808 51936 56304 60912 65760 70848 76176 81744 87544 93576 99840 106344 113088 119976 127008 134184 141504 148968 156576 164328 172224 180264 188448 196776 205248 213864 222624 231524 240564 249744 258964 268324 277824 287464 297244 307164 317214 327394 337704 348144 358714 369414 380244 391194 402264 413454 424764 436194 447844 459614 471514 483544 495694 507964 520354 532864 545494 558244 571114 584114 597244 610494 623864 637354 650964 664694 678544 692514 706604 720814 735144 749594 764154 778834 793634 808554 823594 838754 853934 869234 884654 899994 915454 930934 946534 962254 978094 993954 1009944 1025944 1041944 1057944 1073944 1089944 1105944 1121944 1137944 1153944 1169944 1185944 1201944 1217944 1233944 1249944 1265944 1281944 1297944 1313944 1329944 1345944 1361944 1377944 1393944 1409944 1425944 1441944 1457944 1473944 1489944 1505944 1521944 1537944 1553944 1569944 1585944 1601944 1617944 1633944 1649944 1665944 1681944 1697944 1713944 1729944 1745944 1761944 1777944 1793944 1809944 1825944 1841944 1857944 1873944 1889944 1905944 1921944 1937944 1953944 1969944 1985944 2001944 2017944 2033944 2049944 2065944 2081944 2097944 2113944 2129944 2145944 2161944 2177944 2193944 2209944 2225944 2241944 2257944 2273944 2289944 2305944 2321944 2337944 2353944 2369944 2385944 2401944 2417944 2433944 2449944 2465944 2481944 2497944 2513944 2529944 2545944 2561944 2577944 2593944 2609944 2625944 2641944 2657944 2673944 2689944 2705944 2721944 2737944 2753944 2769944 2785944 2801944 2817944 2833944 2849944 2865944 2881944 2897944 2913944 2929944 2945944 2961944 2977944 2993944 3009944 3025944 3041944 3057944 3073944 3089944 3105944 3121944 3137944 3153944 3169944 3185944 3201944 3217944 3233944 3249944 3265944 3281944 3297944 3313944 3329944 3345944 3361944 3377944 3393944 3409944 3425944 3441944 3457944 3473944 3489944 3505944 3521944 3537944 3553944 3569944 3585944 3601944 3617944 3633944 3649944 3665944 3681944 3697944 3713944 3729944 3745944 3761944 3777944 3793944 3809944 3825944 3841944 3857944 3873944 3889944 3905944 3921944 3937944 3953944 3969944 3985944 4001944 4017944 4033944 4049944 4065944 4081944 4097944 4113944 4129944 4145944 4161944 4177944 4193944 4209944 4225944 4241944 4257944 4273944 4289944 4305944 4321944 4337944 4353944 4369944 4385944 4401944 4417944 4433944 4449944 4465944 4481944 4497944 4513944 4529944 4545944 4561944 4577944 4593944 4609944 4625944 4641944 4657944 4673944 4689944 4705944 4721944 4737944 4753944 4769944 4785944 4801944 4817944 4833944 4849944 4865944 4881944 4897944 4913944 4929944 4945944 4961944 4977944 4993944 5009944 5025944 5041944 5057944 5073944 5089944 5105944 5121944 5137944 5153944 5169944 5185944 5201944 5217944 5233944 5249944 5265944 5281944 5297944 5313944 5329944 5345944 5361944 5377944 5393944 5409944 5425944 5441944 5457944 5473944 5489944 5505944 5521944 5537944 5553944 5569944 5585944 5601944 5617944 5633944 5649944 5665944 5681944 5697944 5713944 5729944 5745944 5761944 5777944 5793944 5809944 5825944 5841944 5857944 5873944 5889944 5905944 5921944 5937944 5953944 5969944 5985944 6001944 6017944 6033944 6049944 6065944 6081944 6097944 6113944 6129944 6145944 6161944 6177944 6193944 6209944 6225944 6241944 6257944 6273944 6289944 6305944 6321944 6337944 6353944 6369944 6385944 6401944 6417944 6433944 6449944 6465944 6481944 6497944 6513944 6529944 6545944 6561944 6577944 6593944 6609944 6625944 6641944 6657944 6673944 6689944 6705944 6721944 6737944 6753944 6769944 6785944 6801944 6817944 6833944 6849944 6865944 6881944 6897944 6913944 6929944 6945944 6961944 6977944 6993944 7009944 7025944 7041944 7057944 7073944 7089944 7105944 7121944 7137944 7153944 7169944 7185944 7201944 7217944 7233944 7249944 7265944 7281944 7297944 7313944 7329944 7345944 7361944 7377944 7393944 7409944 7425944 7441944 7457944 7473944 7489944 7505944 7521944 7537944 7553944 7569944 7585944 7601944 7617944 7633944 7649944 7665944 7681944 7697944 7713944 7729944 7745944 7761944 7777944 7793944 7809944 7825944 7841944 7857944 7873944 7889944 7905944 7921944 7937944 7953944 7969944 7985944 8001944 8017944 8033944 8049944 8065944 8081944 8097944 8113944 8129944 8145944 8161944 8177944 8193944 8209944 8225944 8241944 8257944 8273944 8289944 8305944 8321944 8337944 8353944 8369944 8385944 8401944 8417944 8433944 8449944 8465944 8481944 8497944 8513944 8529944 8545944 8561944 8577944 8593944 8609944 8625944 8641944 8657944 8673944 8689944 8705944 8721944 8737944 8753944 8769944 8785944 8801944 8817944 8833944 8849944 8865944 8881944 8897944 8913944 8929944 8945944 8961944 8977944 8993944 9009944 9025944 9041944 9057944 9073944 9089944 9105944 9121944 9137944 9153944 9169944 9185944 9201944 9217944 9233944 9249944 9265944 9281944 9297944 9313944 9329944 9345944 9361944 9377944 9393944 9409944 9425944 9441944 9457944 9473944 9489944 9505944 9521944 9537944 9553944 9569944 9585944 9601944 9617944 9633944 9649944 9665944 9681944 9697944 9713944 9729944 9745944 9761944 9777944 9793944 9809944 9825944 9841944 9857944 9873944 9889944 9905944 9921944 9937944 9953944 9969944 9985944 10001944 10017944 10033944 10049944 10065944 10081944 10097944 10113944 10129944 10145944 10161944 10177944 10193944 10209944 10225944 10241944 10257944 10273944 10289944 10305944 10321944 10337944 10353944 10369944 10385944 10401944 10417944 10433944 10449944 10465944 10481944 10497944 10513944 10529944 10545944 10561944 10577944 10593944 10609944 10625944 10641944 10657944 10673944 10689944 10705944 10721944 10737944 10753944 10769944 10785944 10801944 10817944 10833944 10849944 10865944 10881944 10897944 10913944 10929944 10945944 10961944 10977944 10993944 11009944 11025944 11041944 11057944 11073944 11089944 11105944 11121944 11137944 11153944 11169944 11185944 11201944 11217944 11233944 11249944 11265944 11281944 11297944 11313944 11329944 11345944 11361944 11377944 11393944 11409944 11425944 11441944 11457944 11473944 11489944 11505944 11521944 11537944 11553944 11569944 11585944 11601944 11617944 11633944 11649944 11665944 11681944 11697944 11713944 11729944 11745944 11761944 11777944 11793944 11809944 11825944 11841944 11857944 11873944 11889944 11905944 11921944 11937944 11953944 11969944 11985944 12001944 12017944 12033944 12049944 12065944 12081944 12097944 12113944 12129944 12145944 12161944 12177944 12193944 12209944 12225944 12241944 12257944 12273944 12289944 12305944 12321944 12337944 12353944 12369944 12385944 12401944 12417944 12433944 12449944 12465944 12481944 12497944 12513944 12529944 12545944 12561944 12577944 12593944 12609944 12625944 12641944 12657944 12673944 12689944 12705944 12721944 12737944 12753944 12769944 12785944 12801944 12817944 12833944 12849944 12865944 12881944 12897944 12913944 12929944 12945944 12961944 12977944 12993944 13009944 13025944 13041944 13057944 13073944 13089944 13105944 13121944 13137944 13153944 13169944 13185944 13201944 13217944 13233944 13249944 13265944 13281944 13297944 13313944 13329944 13345944 13361944 13377944 13393944 13409944 13425944 13441944 13457944 13473944 13489944 13505944 13521944 13537944 13553944 13569944 13585944 13601944 13617944 13633944 13649944 13665944 13681944 13697944 13713944 13729944 13745944 13761944 13777944 13793944 13809944 13825944 13841944 13857944 13873944 13889944 13905944 13921944 13937944 13953944 13969944 13985944 14001944 14017944 14033944 14049944 14065944 14081944 14097944 14113944 14129944 14145944 14161944 14177944 14193944 14209944 14225944 14241944 14257944 14273944 14289944 14305944 14321944 14337944 14353944 14369944 14385944 14401944 14417944 14433944 14449944 14465944 14481944 14497944 14513944 14529944 14545944 14561944 14577944 14593944 14609944 14625944 14641944 14657944 14673944 14689944 14705944 14721944 14737944 14753944 14769944 14785944 14801944 14817944 14833944 14849944 14865944 14881944 14897944 14913944 14929944 14945944 14961944 14977944 14993944 15009944 15025944 15041944 15057944 15073944 15089944 15105944 15121944 15137944 15153944 15169944 15185944 15201944 15217944 15233944 15249944 15265944 15281944 15297944 15313944 15329944 15345944 15361944 15377944 15393944 15409944 15425944 15441944 15457944 15473944 15489944 15505944 15521944 15537944 15553944 15569944 15585944 15601944 15617944 15633944 15649944 15665944 15681944 15697944 15713944 15729944 15745944 15761944 15777944 15793944 15809944 15825944 15841944 15857944 15873944 15889944 15905944 15921944 15937944 15953944 15969944 15985944 16001944 16017944 16033944 16049944 16065944 16081944 16097944 16113944 16129944 16145944 16161944 16177944 16193944 16209944 16225944 16241944 16257944 16273944 16289944 16305944 16321944 16337944 16353944 16369944 16385944 16401944 16417944 16433944 16449944 16465944 16481944 16497944 16513944 16529944 16545944 16561944 16577944 16593944 16609944 16625944 16641944 16657944 16673944 16689944 16705944 16721944 16737944 16753944 16769944 16785944 16801944 16817944 16833944 16849944 16865944 16881944 16897944 16913944 16929944 16945944 16961944 16977944 16993944 17009944 17025944 17041944 17057944 17073944 17089944 17105944 17121944 17137944 17153944 17169944 17185944 17201944 17217944 17233944 17249944 17265944 17281944 17297944 17313944 17329944 17345944 17361944 17377944 17393944 17409944 17425944 17441944 17457944 17473944 17489944 17505944 17521944 17537944 17553944 17569944 17585944 17601944 17617944 17633944 17649944 17665944 17681944 17697944 17713944 17729944 17745944 17761944 17777944 17793944 17809944 17825944 17841944 17857944 17873944 17889944 17905944 17921944 17937944 17953944 17969944 17985944 18001944 18017944 18033944 18049944 18065944 18081944 18097944 18113944 18129944 18145944 18161944 18177944 18193944 18209944 18225944 18241944 18257944 18273944 18289944 18305944 18321944 18337944 18353944 18369944 18385944 18401944 18417944 18433944 18449944 18465944 18481944 18497944 18513944 18529944 18545944 18561944 18577944 18593944 18609944 18625944 18641944 18657944 18673944 18689944 18705944 18721944 18737944 18753944 18769944 18785944 18801944 18817944 18833944 18849944 18865944 18881944 18897944 18913944 18929944 18945944 18961944 18977944 18993944 19009944 19025944 19041944 19057944 19073944 19089944 19105944 19121944 19137944 19153944 19169944 19185944 19201944 19217944 19233944 19249944 19265944 19281944 19297944 19313944 19329944 19345944 19361944 19377944 19393944 19409944 19425944 19441944 19457944 19473944 19489944 19505944 19521944 19537944 19553944 19569944 19585944 19601944 19617944 19633944 19649944 19665944 19681944 19697944 19713944 19729944 19745944 19761944 19777944 19793944 19809944 19825944 19841944 19857944 19873944 19889944 19905944 19921944 19937944 19953944 19969944 19985944 20001944 20017944 20033944 20049944 20065944 20081944 20097944 20113944 20129944 20145944 20161944 20177944 20193944 20209944 20225944 20241944 20257944 20273944 20289944 20305944 20321944 20337944 20353944 20369944 20385944 20401944 20417944 20433944 20449944 20465944 20481944 20497944 20513944 20529944 20545944 20561944 20577944 20593944 20609944 20625944 20641944 20657944 20673944 20689944 20705944 20721944 20737944 20753944 20769944 20785944 20801944 20817944 20833944 20849944 20865944 20881944 20897944 20913944 20929944 20945944 20961944 20977944 20993944 21009944 21025944 21041944 21057944 21073944 21089944 21105944 21121944 21137944 21153944 21169944 21185944 21201944 21217944 21233944 21249944 21265944 21281944 21297944 21313944 21329944 21345944 21361944 21377944 21393944 21409944 21425944 21441944 21457944 21473944 21489944 21505944 21521944 21537944 21553944 21569944 21585944 21601944 21617944 21633944 21649944 21665944 21681944 21697944 21713944 21729944 21745944 21761944 21777944 21793944 21809944 21825944 21841944 21857944 21873944 21889944 21905944 21921944 21937944 21953944 21969944

State of Montana

Secretary of State



Declaration of Intent and Purpose

I, Mike Cooney, Secretary of State, do hereby declare that the records microfilmed herein are actual records of the State of Montana as presented to the Records Management Bureau and were created during the normal course of business, and that:

The destruction or disposition of the records microphotographed on this reel is only to be accomplished after inspection of the microfilm to assure completeness of coverage and compliance to microfilm standards approved by the State Records Committee.

Mike Cooney

Mike Cooney
Secretary of State

Date: 4-18-96
(Month/Day/Year)

Place: Helena, Montana
(City/State)

**THERE WERE IN THE
ORIGINAL FILE SOME
PAGES CONTAINING
MUTILATIONS AND OTHER
DEFECTS. THESE
UNAVOIDABLY
CONSTITUTE PART OF THE
FILMED FILE.**

*** * DUE TO POOR CONTRAST, SOME TEXT WRITTEN IN PENCIL MAY NOT
BE LEGIBLE ON MICROFILM * ***

A08765

Age Structure of Hunter Killed Birds

Numbers of sage grouse bagged and young per adult ratios as determined from 1963 and 1964 hunter killed birds examined at checking stations are given in Table XIV.

TABLE XIV. NUMBERS OF SAGE GROUSE KILLED AND YOUNG/ADULT RATIOS AS DETERMINED FROM EXAMINATION OF HUNTER KILLED BIRDS IN 1963 AND 1964.

Year	Adults			Young	Young/Adult Females	Young/ Adult
	M	F	Total			
1963	79	41	120	163	3.9	1.3
1964	28	58	86	65	1.1	.8

M = Male; F = Female

While males and females comprised 67 and 33 percent of the adult bag, respectively, during 1963, the reverse was true for 1964. The number of young taken in 1963 was greater than the combined total of young and adults shot in 1964. The young per adult female ratios and the number of young shot for both years indicated decreased production in 1964. In 1963, 214 hunters shot about 1.4 times more adults and 2.5 times more juveniles than did 212 hunters in 1964.

LITERATURE CITED

- Blaisdell, J. P. and W. F. Mueggler. 1965. Effects of 2,4-D on Forbs and Shrubs Associated with Big Sagebrush. J. Range Mgmt. 9(1): 38-40.
- Booth, W. E. 1950. Flora of Montana, Part I, Conifers and Monocots. Research Foundation at Montana State College, Bozeman, Montana. 232 pp.
- _____ and J. C. Wright. 1959. Flora of Montana, Part II, Dicotyledons. Montana State College, Bozeman, Montana. 280 pp.
- Canfield, R. H. 1941. Application of the line intercept method in sampling range vegetation. J. Forestry 39: 388-394.
- Dalke, P. D., D. B. Pyrah, D. C. Stanton, J. E. Crawford, and F. E. Schlatterer. 1960. Seasonal movements and breeding behavior of sage grouse in Idaho. Trans. N. Am. Wildl. Conf. 25: 396-406.
- Daubenmire, R. 1959. A canopy-coverage method of vegetational analysis. Northwest Sci. 33(1): 43-64.
- Dill, H. H. and W. H. Thornsberry. 1950. A cannon-projected net trap for capturing waterfowl. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 14(2): 132-137.
- Ellig, L. J. 1955. Waterfowl Relationships to Greenfields Lake, Teton County, Montana. Mont. Fish and Game Dept. and Mont. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech Bull. 1. 35 pp.
- Eng, R. L. 1952. Population Trends and Breeding Potential Studies. Mont. Fish and Game P-R. Qtr. 3(3): 44-49.
- _____ 1955. A method for obtaining sage grouse age and sex ratios from wings. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 19(2): 267-272.
- Enyeart, G. 1956. Responses of sage grouse to grass reseeding in the Pines area, Garfield County, Utah. M.S. thesis, Utah State Agr. Coll., 55 pp.
- Gibson, R. S. 1961. Vegetation Analysis of Cottonwood-Trail Creek Sagebrush Spraying Project No. 541 Beaverhead National Forest. U. S. Forest Service Report, File No. 2620. 3 pp multilith.

- Gill, R. B. 1964. Effects of sagebrush control on the distribution and abundance of sage grouse. Colo. Coop. Wildl. Res. Unit Qtr. Rept. 18(1) 4 pp.
- Girard, G. L. 1937. Life history, habit, and food of the sage grouse, Centrocercus urophasianus Bonaparte. Univ. of Wyo. Publs., 3(1): 1-56.
- Griner, L. A. 1939. A study of the sage grouse (Centrocercus urophasianus) with special reference to life history, habitat requirement, and numbers and distribution. M.S. thesis, Utah State Agr. Coll., 83 pp.
- Hyder, D. H., and P. A. Sneva. 1956. Herbage response to sagebrush spraying. J. Range Mgmt. 9:34-38
- Keller, R. J., H. R. Shepherd, and R. N. Randall. 1941. Survey of 1941/North Park, Jackson County, Moffat County, including comparative data of previous seasons. Sage Grouse Surv., Vol. 3, Colo. Game and Fish Dept., 31 pp.
- Kirsch, J. 1964. Personal communication.
- Martin, A. C., R. H. Gensch, and C. P. Brown. 1946. Alternative methods in upland gamebird food analysis. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 10:8-12.
- Mussehl, T. W. 1960. Blue Grouse Production, Movements, and Populations in the Bridger Mountains, Montana. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 24(1) 60-68.
- Patterson, R. L. 1952. The Sage Grouse in Wyoming. Wyo. Game and Fish Comm., Sage Books, Inc., Denver, Colorado. 341 pp.
- Pyrah, D. 1963. Sage Grouse Investigations. Idaho Fish and Game Dept. Completion Report. Project W 125-R-2. 71 pp.
- Rogers, G. E. 1964. Sage Grouse Investigations in Colorado. Colo. Game, Fish and Parks Dept., Tech Bull. No. 16. 132 pp.
- Scott, J. W. 1942. Mating Behavior of the Sage Grouse. Auk, 59(4) 477-498.
- Simon, J. R. 1940. Mating Performance of the Sage Grouse. Auk, 57(4) 467-471.